

Planning Proposal



Local Heritage Listing

Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)

3 Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay

Prepared May 2020



Table of Contents

Part 1 – Introduction	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Description of this planning proposal.....	1
1.3. Assessment of heritage significance	2
1.4. Statement of heritage significance	3
1.5. Heritage Significance Assessment report.....	4
Part 2 – Existing site and context	4
2.1. The site	4
Part 3 – Existing planning controls	6
Part 4 – Objectives of amendment to Woollahra LEP 2014.....	7
Part 5 – Explanation of provisions.....	7
Part 6 – Justification	7
6.1. Need for planning proposal	7
6.2. Relationship to strategic planning framework	8
6.3. Environmental, social and economic impact.....	9
6.4. State and Commonwealth interests.....	9
Part 7 – Mapping	10
Part 8 – Community consultation	11
8.1. Consultation with landowner	11
8.2. Public exhibition	11
Part 9 – Project timeline	12
Schedules 13	
Schedule 1 – Consistency with state environmental planning policies.....	13
Schedule 2 – Compliance with section 9.1 directions	18
Supplementary material (separately attached)	21

Part 1 – Introduction

1.1. Background

On 18 June 2018 Woollahra Council resolved in part:

THAT Council:

- A. *Request staff to undertake an assessment of heritage significance for the Rose Bay Scout Hall (former RAAF Officers' Canteen) located in Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay, and report to the Environmental Planning Committee on whether the property has sufficient heritage significance to be listed as:*
 - i) *a local heritage item in the Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014(WLEP), and*
 - ii) *an item on the State Heritage under the Heritage Act 1977.*

In response to Council's decision a heritage significance assessment of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall* was prepared by Weir Phillips Heritage and Planning.

On 17 February 2020 a report on the Heritage Listing of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* at 3 Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay was presented to a meeting of the *Environmental Planning Committee* and on 24 February 2020 Council resolved in part:

- A. *THAT a planning proposal be prepared to list the Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors) as a heritage item in Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014.*
- B. *THAT the planning proposal be referred to the Woollahra Local Planning Panel for advice.*
- C. *THAT the advice of the Woollahra Local Planning Panel be reported to the Environmental Planning Committee.*

1.2. Description of this planning proposal

This planning proposal is made in relation to the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* at 3 Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay. This planning proposal explains the intended effect of an amendment to Woollahra LEP 2014. The amendment to Woollahra LEP 2014 involves listing *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* as a heritage item. Heritage listing will provide ongoing protection and recognition of the heritage significance of the building and interiors.

This planning proposal has been prepared in accordance with section 3.33 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act) and the two documents prepared by the formerly named NSW Department of Planning and Environment (now known as the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) titled *A Guide to Preparing Planning Proposals* (December 2018) and *A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans* (December 2018).

This planning proposal includes:

1. Existing site and surrounding context
2. Existing planning controls applying to the site
3. The objective of the amendment to Woollahra LEP 2014
4. An explanation of provisions that are to be included in the amendment to Woollahra LEP 2014

5. Justification for the objective and provisions to be included in the amendment to Woollahra LEP 2014
6. Mapping for the heritage listing
7. Community consultation to be undertaken
8. Project timeline

1.3. Assessment of heritage significance

In response to Council's decision, a heritage significance assessment of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall* was prepared by Weir Phillips Heritage and Planning. The assessment was completed in October 2019 and updated in May 2020 and is attached separately.

The assessment of heritage significance was undertaken in accordance with the document titled *Assessing heritage significance*, published by the NSW Heritage Office in 2001. The assessment used the process and criteria set out in that document.

There are seven criteria used in the process of assessing heritage significance. Table 1 below provides a summary of the assessment of the heritage significance of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* against the seven criteria, at the local and State levels.

Table 1: NSW Heritage assessment criteria summary – Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)

Criteria		Meets criteria for heritage listing and grading of significance	
		Local	State
(a)	An item is important in the course, or pattern, of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area)	✓	x
(b)	An item has strong or special association with the life or works of a person, or group of persons, of importance in NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area)	✓	x
(c)	An item is important in demonstrating aesthetic characteristics and/or a high degree of creative or technical achievement in NSW (or the local area)	✓	x
(d)	An item has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group in NSW (or the local area) for social, cultural or spiritual reasons	✓	x
(e)	An item has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area)	x	x
(f)	An item possesses uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area)	✓	x
(g)	An item is important in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a class of NSW's	✓	x

Criteria		Meets criteria for heritage listing and grading of significance	
		Local	State
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cultural or natural places; or • cultural or natural environments. <p>or a class of the local area's</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cultural or natural places; or • cultural or natural environments. 		

Each criterion has inclusion and exclusion guidelines which are used to assist in the assessment process. If an item meets one of the seven heritage criteria at a local level, and retains the integrity of its key attributes, it can be considered to have local heritage significance. To be assessed for State significance an item will meet more than one of the seven heritage criteria at a State level, or if an item satisfies only one of the criteria, the item is of such particular significance to NSW that it should be listed.

The heritage assessment concludes that *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* satisfies criteria (a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and (g) at a local level. *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* does not satisfy any criteria at a State level.

1.4. Statement of heritage significance

The following statement of heritage significance is extracted from the Heritage Assessment report by Weir Phillips Heritage and Planning:

The Rose Bay Scout Hall, Rose Bay, has historic and aesthetic significance at a local level. The hall was designed by architect Charles Mack Walker and built in 1940 for the Rose Bay Scouts and Rose Bay Sea Scouts and, except for a brief period during World War II, it has been used by the Scouts (and other community groups) since this time. The hall is one of two known examples of buildings purpose-built for the Scouts in Woollahra during the Inter-War period. The building is a good example of a Scout hall that takes into consideration its setting, in this instance through the inclusion of porthole windows and a roof top deck from which to view Rose Bay. While the interior of the building has undergone some alteration, the exterior of the building is substantially 'as built.'

The Rose Bay Scout Hall has significance for its association, albeit brief, with the adjoining Rose Bay Flying Boat Base. Between October 1942 and the end of World War II, the building was used by the RAAF. The Rose Bay Flying Boat Base played an important service role during World War II and was the sight of huge receptions for returning Prisoners of War after World War II.

(May 2020: Weir Phillips Heritage and Planning:
Heritage Assessment, Rose Bay Scout Hall p. 48)

The assessment recommends the listing of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* as a local heritage item in Schedule 5 of Woollahra LEP 2014. The report concluded that the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* does not meet the threshold for State heritage listing.

1.5. Heritage Significance Assessment report

The heritage significance assessment report contains the following recommendations:

The Rose Bay Scout Hall, including interiors, Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay:

- *Should be listed as a heritage item by Schedule 5 Part 1 of the Woollahra LEP 2014. It meets the threshold for listing under Heritage NSW criteria (a), (b), (c), (f) and (g).*
- *The hall should not be listed on the State Heritage Register as a solitary item at this point in time. The site may have State and National significance for its association with the Rose Bay Flying Boat Base, which played a significant role in air transport prior to World War II and in defence during World War II. It is the only surviving above ground structure associated with the Base. Significance, however, is diminished by the fact that the building was not built for the Flying Boat Base but was a pre-existing structure that was requisitioned for a four year period during World War II. There are other buildings that were purpose built during World War II for airbases surviving within New South Wales (notably at Rathmines, where a suite of buildings survives) and around Australia. Some of these buildings survive in groups and/or are capable of being read in conjunction with the airfield that they served. As the only above ground remnant of a structure associated with the Rose Bay Flying Boat Base, the building is not readily understood as once having being part of it. It is understood that a current archaeological investigation is being carried out into the former Rose Bay Flying Boat Base site. Any inclusion of this building on a State or National heritage register should be made in conjunction with a listing for the overall base site. It is unlikely that the site meets the threshold for State or National listing without this added context.*
- *The curtilage for listing should be the current fenced boundaries: Lots 1536 and 1537 D.P. 40022 and Lot 1475 D.P. 752011.*
- *The records of the Rose Bay Flying Boat Base, held in the National Archives in Sydney, Melbourne and Canberra, be accessed for additional information.*

Part 2 – Existing site and context

2.1. The site

The Rose Bay Scout Hall (Figure 1) is located at No. 3 Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay (Figure 2 and Figure 3). The site is identified as Lots 1536 and 1537 D.P. 40022 and Lot 1475 D.P. 752011.

The subject site is not listed on the NSW State Heritage Register (SHR), nor is it identified as a local heritage item or located in a heritage conservation area in Schedule 5 of *Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014* (Woollahra LEP 2014).



Figure 1: Rose Bay Scout Hall, front (north-eastern) elevation (WP Heritage and Planning)



Figure 2: Site location. The arrow points to the site (Woollahra Council GIS)



Figure 3: Aerial photograph over the site with Scout Hall indicated by arrow (SIX Maps; annotation by WP Heritage and Planning)

Part 3 – Existing planning controls

The site is subject to existing planning controls within the Woollahra LEP 2014, relating to land zoning, height of building, minimum lot size and acid sulfate soils. These are as follows:

	Land Use Zone	Height of Building	Minimum Lot Size	Acid Sulfate Soils
Rose Bay Scout Hall	RE1 Public Recreation	Not applicable	Not applicable	Class 1

The Objectives for RE1 Public Recreation in Woollahra LEP 2014 are:

- *To enable land to be used for public open space or recreational purposes.*
- *To provide a range of recreational settings and activities and compatible land uses.*
- *To protect and enhance the natural environment for recreational purposes.*

The *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* is not currently listed as a State or local heritage item and Clause 5.10 (Heritage Conservation) of the Woollahra LEP 2014 does not currently apply.

Part 4 – Objectives of amendment to Woollahra LEP 2014

The objective of the amendment to Woollahra LEP 2014 is to recognise the heritage significance of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* at 3 Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay and provide it with statutory heritage protection.

Part 5 – Explanation of provisions

The planning proposal seeks the following amendments to Woollahra LEP 2014:

- Insert a listing for the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* in Part 1 (Heritage Items) of Schedule 5 (Environmental Heritage). The exact wording of the amendment will be determined by the Parliamentary Counsel prior to the making of the LEP.
- Amend the Heritage Map (Sheet HER_005) to identify a heritage item on the site of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* at 3 Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay.

Part 6 – Justification

The planning proposal has strategic merit. The heritage significance of *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* has been established. Heritage listing will provide ongoing protection and recognition of the heritage significance of the item.

These matters are further discussed below in part 6.1 to 6.3.

6.1. Need for planning proposal

1. Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

Yes. The planning proposal is the result of the recommendations of the heritage assessment/ inventory sheet, prepared by Weir Phillips Heritage and Planning. The report concluded that the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* meets the criteria for listing as a local heritage item. The report recommended that the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* be listed as a heritage item in Woollahra LEP 2014.

2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives, or is there a better way?

Yes. The objective is to recognise the heritage significance of *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* at 3 Vickery Avenue, Rose Bay and provide it with statutory protection. The best, and only, means of achieving this objective is through the planning proposal process.

Other options, such as adding site-specific objectives and controls to *Woollahra Development Control Plan 2015*, or including heritage conservation conditions to a development consent for the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* will not provide the same level of heritage protection and recognition.

6.2. Relationship to strategic planning framework

3. Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions contained within the applicable regional, subregional strategy or district plan or strategy (including exhibited draft plans or strategies)?

Yes. The planning proposal is consistent with the relevant objectives of the *Greater Sydney Region Plan: A Metropolis of Three Cities* (2018) and the relevant actions of the *Eastern City District Plan* (2018), as discussed below.

Greater Sydney Regional Plan: A Metropolis of Three Cities

The planning proposal is consistent with the directions and objectives of *Greater Sydney Regional Plan: A Metropolis of Three Cities*, particularly Objective 13: Environmental heritage is identified, conserved and enhanced.

Heritage listing of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* will provide ongoing protection and recognition of the heritage significance of the item.

Eastern City District Plan

Yes. The planning proposal is generally consistent with the directions, priorities and objectives of the *Eastern City District Plan*, more specifically with:

- 'A city of great places' direction
- Planning Priority E6 'Creating and renewing great places and local centres, and respecting the District's heritage'
- Objective 13 'environmental heritage is identified, conserved and enhanced'
- Action 20 'Identifying, conserving and enhancing the environmental heritage of the local area' through:
 - a. engaging with the community early to understand heritage values'
- Action 54 'Consider the following issues when preparing plans for tourism and visitation:
 - e. protecting heritage and biodiversity to enhance cultural and eco-tourism'
- Action 63 'Identify and protect scenic and cultural landscapes'.

4. Is the planning proposal consistent with a council's local strategy or other local strategic plan?

Yes. The planning proposal is consistent with the Council's Community Strategic Plan titled *Woollahra 2030 – our community, our place, our plan*. Notably, the planning proposal meets the following strategy within Goal 4 (Well planned neighbourhood) under the theme Quality places and spaces:

4.3 Protect local heritage and residential amenity, including protection of significant architecture and the natural environment.

The planning proposal is also consistent with the *Woollahra Local Strategic Planning Statement* (approved by Council on 24 February 2020). In particular, the planning proposal is consistent with Planning Priority 5 under the theme of Liveability:

Planning Priority 5 Conserving our rich and diverse heritage

5. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies?

Yes. The planning proposal is consistent with the *Standard Instrument – Principal Local Environmental Plan* and all other applicable State Environmental Planning Policies (refer to **Schedule 1** below).

6. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.9.1 directions)?

Yes. The planning proposal is consistent with applicable section 9.1 directions (refer to **Schedule 2** below).

6.3. Environmental, social and economic impact

7. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

No. There are no critical habitat areas, threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats present on the subject land. Accordingly, the proposal will not have any impact in this regard.

8. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

No. There are no likely environmental effects that would arise as a result of the planning proposal. Protection of the item, will be required when development is proposed for the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* or in the vicinity of the site. Protection measures are not likely to result in environmental harm and will be managed through the development assessment process.

9. Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

Yes. The heritage assessment / inventory sheet measured the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* against the criteria for 'cultural significance' as defined in the Australia ICOMOS Burra Charter, as meaning the aesthetic, historic, scientific, social or spiritual value for past, present or future generations.

The assessment found that the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* is of heritage significance at the local level. Social significance is satisfied at local level.

6.4. State and Commonwealth interests

10. Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

Yes. The planning proposal involves the local heritage listing of the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)*. It does not involve amendments to the planning controls that will facilitate intensified development.

The *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)* has access to adequate public infrastructure such as water, sewer, electricity and telephone services. The site is in proximity to regular and frequent public transport services.

There is no significant infrastructure demand that will result from the planning proposal. The existing services that are available to the site are suitable for the proposal and appropriate for the requirements of a local centre.

11. What are the views of State and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the gateway determination?

As landowner, The Crown were consulted during the heritage assessment process although no comment was received. The Crown were provided a copy of the draft heritage significant assessment and draft heritage inventory sheet on 1 November 2019. The land owners and lease holders were given until the 2 December 2019 to make a submission. No comments were provided from the relevant land owners and lease holders.


During the public exhibition, Heritage NSW, Department of Premier and Cabinet will be notified.

Further consultation will take place with any other authorities identified by the Gateway Determination.

Part 7 – Mapping

The planning proposal amends the Woollahra LEP 2014 Heritage Map (Sheet HER_005) by applying an “Item – General” classification to the *Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)*.

Extracts of the existing and proposed heritage maps are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5.



Part 8 – Community consultation

8.1. Consultation with landowner

See response to question 11 above.

8.2. Public exhibition

Public exhibition will be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Act, the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000* and the conditions in the Gateway Determination.

We recommend that the planning proposal is exhibited for a minimum of 28 days.

Public notification of the exhibition will comprise:

- a notice on Council's website.
- a letter to the land owner of the site.
- a letter to the current lessee.
- a letter to land owners in the vicinity of the site
- a letter to local community groups such as the Rose Bay Residents' Association and the Woollahra History and Heritage Society.

During the exhibition period the following material will be available on Council's website and in the customer service area at Woollahra Council offices:

- the planning proposal, in the form approved by the gateway determination.
- the gateway determination.
- information relied upon by the planning proposal (such as relevant Council reports).

Part 9 – Project timeline

As Council is authorised to exercise the functions of the Minister for Planning under section 3.36 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, the proposed timeline for completion is as follows:

Plan-making step	Estimated completion
Council Notice of Motion	18 June 2018
Environmental Planning Committee recommends proceeding	17 February 2020
Council resolution to proceed	24 February 2020
Local Planning Panel provides advice	March 2020
Environmental Planning Committee recommends proceeding	March 2020
Council resolution to proceed	June 2020
Gateway determination	June 2020
Completion of technical assessment	Usually none required
Government agency consultation	July 2020
Public exhibition period	July 2020
Submissions assessment	August 2020
Environmental Planning Committee considers assessment of planning proposal post exhibition	September 2020
Council decision to make the LEP amendment	September 2020
Council to liaise with Parliamentary Counsel to prepare LEP amendment	October 2020
Forwarding of LEP amendment to Greater Sydney Commission and Department of Planning and Environment for notification	November 2020
Notification of the approved LEP	December 2020

Schedules

Schedule 1 – Consistency with state environmental planning policies

State environmental planning policy	Comment on consistency
SEPP No 1 – Development Standards	Not applicable
SEPP No 19 – Bushland in Urban Areas	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP No 21 – Caravan Parks	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP No 33 – Hazardous and Offensive Development	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP No 36 – Manufactured Home Estates	Not applicable
SEPP No 44 – Koala Habitat Protection	Not applicable
SEPP No 47 – Moore Park Showground	Not applicable
SEPP No 50 – Canal Estate Development	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP No 55 – Remediation of Land	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP No 64 – Advertising and Signage	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.

State environmental planning policy	Comment on consistency
SEPP No 65 – Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p> <p>See section 6.3 of the planning proposal for more information.</p>
SEPP No 70 – Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes)	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p>
SEPP (Aboriginal Land) 2019	Not applicable.
SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p>
SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p>
SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p>
SEPP (Concurrences) 2018	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p>
SEPP (Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities) 2017	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p>
SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p>
SEPP (Gosford City Centre) 2018	Not applicable.

State environmental planning policy	Comment on consistency
SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP (Kosciuszko National Park - Alpine Resorts) 2007	Not applicable
SEPP (Kurnell Peninsula) 1989	Not applicable
SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP (Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP (Penrith Lakes Scheme) 1989	Not applicable
SEPP (Primary Production and Rural Development) 2019	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP (State Significant Precincts) 2005	Applicable There are currently no identified state significant sites located in the Woollahra Municipality.
SEPP (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011	Not applicable
SEPP (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006	Not applicable
SEPP (Three Ports) 2013	Not applicable

State environmental planning policy	Comment on consistency
SEPP (Urban Renewal) 2010	There are currently no potential urban renewal precincts in the Woollahra Municipality which are identified in the SEPP.
SEPP (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017	Applicable Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.
SEPP (Western Sydney Employment Area) 2009	Not applicable
SEPP (Western Sydney Parklands) 2009	Not applicable

Sydney Regional Environmental Plans – now deemed State Environmental Planning Policies	Comment on consistency
SREP No 8 (Central Coast Plateau Areas)	Not applicable
SREP No 9 - Extractive Industry (No 2 - 1995)	Not applicable
SREP No 16 – Walsh Bay	Not applicable
SREP No 20 - Hawkesbury- Nepean River (No 2 - 1997)	Not applicable
SREP No 24 - Homebush Bay Area	Not applicable
SREP No 26 – City West	Not applicable
SREP No 30 - St Marys	Not applicable
SREP No 33 - Cooks Cove	Not applicable

Sydney Regional Environmental Plans – now deemed State Environmental Planning Policies	Comment on consistency
SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005	<p>Applicable</p> <p>Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this policy.</p> <p>The planning proposal applies to land within the Sydney Harbour Catchment. Therefore the planning principles under Part 2, clause 13 Sydney Harbour Catchment of the SREP have been considered during its preparation. The planning proposal is consistent with the principles.</p> <p>The site is in the Foreshores and Waterways Area, and is consistent with the principles of clause 13 Foreshores and Waterways Area.</p>

Schedule 2 – Compliance with section 9.1 directions

Note any inconsistencies that are considered to be minor here for DPE and in the introduction to the PP and 6.2 question 6.

Planning proposal – Compliance with section 9.1 directions		
Direction		Applicable/comment
1	Employment and resources	
1	Business and industrial zones	Not applicable. The land is not zoned for business or industry.
1.2-1.5	Directions 1.2-1.5	Not applicable. These directions are not relevant to the Sydney metropolitan area.
2	Environment and heritage	
2.1	Environment protection zones	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not apply to land within an environmental protection zone or land identified for environmental protection.
2.2	Coastal protection	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not apply to land within the coastal zone.
2.3	Heritage conservation	Applicable. Consistent. Heritage listing of the <i>Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)</i> will provide ongoing protection and recognition of the heritage significance of the item.
2.4	Recreation vehicle areas	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not apply to sensitive land or land with significant conservation values. It will not allow land to be developed for a recreation vehicle area.
2.5	Application of E2 and E3 Zones and Environmental Overlays in Far North Coast LEPs	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not apply to land in the Far North Coast.
3	Housing, infrastructure and urban development	
3.1	Residential zones	Not applicable. The land is not zoned for residential purposes.
3.2	Caravan parks and manufactured home estates	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not affect caravan parks and manufactured home estates.
3.3	Home occupations	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not affect home occupations in dwelling houses.

Planning proposal – Compliance with section 9.1 directions		
Direction		Applicable/comment
3.4	Integrating land use and transport	Applicable. Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this direction.
3.5	Development near licensed aerodromes	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not apply to land near a licensed aerodrome.
3.6	Shooting ranges	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not apply to land adjacent to or adjoining an existing shooting range.
4	Hazard and risk	
4.1	Acid sulfate soils	Applicable and consistent. Existing acid sulfate soils provisions will not be altered by the planning proposal.
4.2	Mine subsidence and unstable land	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not apply to land within a proclaimed Mine Subsidence District or to land identified as unstable.
4.3	Flood prone land	Applicable. Consistent. Existing flood planning provisions will not be altered by the planning proposal.
4.4	Planning for bushfire protection	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not apply to land mapped as bushfire prone land.
5	Regional planning	
5.1 - 5.9	Strategies 5.1-5.9	Not applicable. These strategies do not apply to the Woollahra LGA.
5.10	Implementation of Regional Plans	<p>Applicable. The planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of the <i>Greater Sydney Regional Plan: A Metropolis of Three Cities</i>, particularly Objective 13: Environmental heritage is identified, conserved and enhanced.</p> <p>Heritage listing of the <i>Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)</i> will provide ongoing protection and recognition of the heritage significance of the item.</p> <p>Refer to Section 6.2 of this report and direction 7.1 of this table.</p>

Planning proposal – Compliance with section 9.1 directions		
Direction		Applicable/comment
6	Local plan making	
6.1	Approval and referral requirements	Applicable. Consistent. The planning proposal does not contain a provision which is contrary to the operation of this direction.
6.2	Reserving land for public purposes	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not create, alter or reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes.
6.3	Site specific provisions	Not applicable. The planning proposal does not allow a particular development to be carried out.
7	Metropolitan Planning	
7.1	Implementation of <i>A Metropolis of Three Cities</i> (March 2018)	Applicable. The planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of <i>A Metropolis of Three Cities</i> , particularly Objective 13: Environmental heritage is identified, conserved and enhanced. Heritage listing of the <i>Rose Bay Scout Hall (including interiors)</i> will provide ongoing protection and recognition of the heritage significance of the item. Refer to section 6.2 of this report and direction 5.10 of this table.
7.2	Implementation of Greater Macarthur Land Release Investigation	Not applicable.
7.3	Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy	Not applicable.

Supplementary material (separately attached)

- **Heritage Significance Assessment for the Rose Bay Scout Hall – Weir Phillips**
Heritage and Planning – May 2020
- **Environmental Planning Committee Report (17 February 2020)**